

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/037,051	11/07/2001	Jaehyeong Kim	13-4	5569
46368 7	590 07/27/2005		EXAM	INER
CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS, P.C. 400 W MAPLE RD			WONG, LINDA	
SUITE 350			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BIRMINGHAM, MI 48009			2634	

DATE MAILED: 07/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/037,051	KIM ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	· Art Unit				
	Linda Wong	2634				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days of If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thi period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO a statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rly (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	09 May 2005.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for a	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice ur	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 2-8,10-14 and 16-20 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wire 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 10-14 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 2-8 and 16-20 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 16 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction is	thdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to	to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
1.1)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in A e priority documents have beer Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-943) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Application/Control Number: 10/037,051 Page 2

Art Unit: 2634

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see Applicant Arguments, filed 5/9/2005, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-20 under McCoy (US Patent No.: 6147984) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn.
 However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Wheatley, III et al (US Patent No.: 6741661).

Claim Objections

- 2. Examiner suggests that the applicant renumber all claims appropriately including all dependencies.
- 3. Claim 16 is objected to because of the following informalities:
 - a. Claim 16 recites a method comprising steps of "examining the characteristics of the composite signal" and "adding the threshold-correcting signal with the first window of samples". Claim 1 and the specification states a method comprising "adding the threshold-correcting signal" to the set of samples within a first window and then "examining the composite signal". It would clarify the steps and proper process of the method recited in claim 16 if the steps of "examining the composite signal" and "adding a threshold-correcting signal" to a set of samples within a first window is switched in order as organized in claim 1.
 - b. Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/037,051

Art Unit: 2634

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Page 3

- 4. Claims 2-9,15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable by Wheatley, III et al (US Patent No.: 6741661).
 - a. Claim 3, Wheatley, III et al discloses searching for at least one peak above a threshold within a window (page 1, paragraph [0013], lines 2-3 and page 5, paragraph [0055]), adding a threshold-correcting signal (page 1, paragraph [0013], lines 2-5) and examining or filtering the composite signal for other peaks found due to spurious out-of-band spectrum artifacts and comprises multiple impulse response clipping to perform the same steps again. (Pages 1-2, paragraph [0013], line 6-8, page 1, paragraph [0006], and Fig. 8, labels 913.914.916.918,and 920)
 - b. Claim 2, Wheatley, III et al discloses finding peaks above a threshold within a window, which can be the highest peak within the window. (Pages 1-2, paragraph [0013], lines 2-3 and page 5, paragraph [0055])
 - c. Claim 4, Wheatley, III et al discloses searching and adjusting the peaks above a threshold for a certain number of transactions. (Fig. 8, label 913, page 1, paragraph [0013], lines 6-8 and pages 6-7, paragraph [0079], lines 10-15)
 - d. Claim 5, Wheatley, III et al discloses continuously searching for peaks within the first window and correcting those peaks. (Fig. 8, labels 913,914,916,918, and 920)

Art Unit: 2634

- e. Claim 6, Wheatley, III et al discloses changing the window (Fig. 8, labels 908 and 910) and repeating the process of searching, correcting and reexamining the corrected signal. (Fig. 8)
- f. Claims 7 and 8, Wheatley, III et al discloses continuously searching for additional peaks after correcting the signal, which were introduced by such a process (Fig. 8, labels 913,914,916,918,920) within a second window (Fig. 8, label 910) and correcting the peaks. (Fig. 8, label 920)
- g. Claim 16 inherits all the limitations of claim 1, but claim 1 does not recite calculating a magnitude and polarity of a threshold-correcting signal for the first highest peak. Wheatley, III et al inherently discloses calculating the magnitude and polarity by geneartinga threshold envelope. (Fig. 7, label, and page 5, paragraph [0058], lines 3-7)
- h. Claim 17, Wheatley, III et al discloses researching the corrected signal within the same window and correcting a signal within that window if the peak-to-average power for the current samples is greater than the peak-to-average target power, which indicates that all the peaks within the window are below the threshold and no out-of-band signals have been found. (Fig. 8, label 914)
- i. Claim 18 inherits all the limitations of claim 6,16, but neither claim 6 nor 16 recites the limitation of searching for a second highest peak and examining the composite signal if the second highest peak is corrected. Although Wheatley, III et al does not explicitly state searching for the second highest peak, Wheatley, III et al discloses searching for peaks above a threshold, which can

Application/Control Number: 10/037,051

Art Unit: 2634

be the highest peak within that window. Also, Wheatley, III et al discloses

searching and correcting the signal within the same window if the peak-to-

average ratio is not less than the target value, which indicates that if the highest

Page 5

peak is corrected, the searching and correcting more peaks within that window

will occur. (Fig. 8, labels 912,913,914,916,918, and 920)

j. Claim 19 inherits all the limitations of claim 7.

k. Claim 20 inherits all the limitations of claim 8.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 10-14 are allowed over prior art.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

a. Long (US Patent No.: 6240141)

b. Yang (US Patent No.: 6504862)

c. Birchler, Mark A (US Patent No.: 5287387)

d. McGowan et al (US Patent No.: 6236864)

e. Birchler et al (US Patent No.: 5638403)

f. Hahm (US Patent No.: 6356606).

Art Unit: 2634

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Linda Wong whose telephone number is 571-272-6044. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Chin can be reached on (571) 272-3056. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LW

STEPHEN CHIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600